

1	Aizona Corporation
2	CARL J. KUNASEK DOCKETED
2	Chairman
	JIM IRVIN Commissioner DEC 1 6 1999
4	WILLIAM A. MUNDELL Commissioner DOCKETED BY
5	BOOKET 1/V
6	IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION) DOCKET NOS. T-01846B-99-0548
7	OF GTE CALIFORNIA INCORPORATED -) T-03746A-99-0548 ARIZONA FOR APPROVAL OF A)
	RESALE AGREEMENT WITH NOW) DECISION NO. 626
δ	COMMUNICATIONS, INC.) ORDER
9	
10	Open Meeting
11	December 7 and 8, 1999 Phoenix, Arizona
12	BY THE COMMISSION:
13	<u>FINDINGS OF FACT</u>
14	1. On October 1, 1999, GTE California Incorporated (GTE) filed an application for approval
15	of an agreement for resale between GTE and Now Communications, Inc. (Now).
16	2. The term of the agreement starts at the date of Commission approval and continues until
17	October 8, 2001. The agreement shall remain in effect after that date until either party gives ninety
18	days notice of termination or a new agreement is entered into.
19	3. The agreement governs the terms and conditions for the resale of GTE's service.
20	4. The Telecommunications Act of 1996 (1996 Act) directed incumbent local exchange
21	carriers to make their networks available for interconnection and resale by new entrants to the local
22	exchange market. The 1996 Act provided for interconnection and resale agreements to be concluded
23	by voluntary agreement.
24	5. This resale agreement between Now and GTE was voluntarily negotiated, without
25	resort to arbitration.
26	6. Under terms of the agreement, GTE will make certain local exchange services available
27	to Now under the terms and conditions of the agreement.
28	

3

11

12

14

16

20

24 25

26

27

28

7. Generally, GTE residential and business services will be made available to Now for resale 2 at a 11.9 percent discount.

8. According to the 1996 Act and State Rule, the Commission must approve voluntarily 4 negotiated interconnection and resale agreements, if their provisions are non-discriminatory and in 5 the public interest. GTE has asserted that the provisions of the GTE/Now agreement are nondiscriminatory and in the public interest. Staff has reviewed the agreement and finds it to be nondiscriminatory and in the public interest.

9. Therefore, since there are no grounds for rejection of the agreement pursuant to Section 9|252(e)(2)(A) of the 1996 Act, Staff has recommended that the Commission approve the resale 10 agreement between GTE and Now.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

- GTE is an Arizona public service corporation within the meaning of Article XV, 1. 13 Section 2, of the Arizona Constitution.
- The Commission has jurisdiction over Now and GTE and over the subject matter of 2. 15 the application.
- The Commission, having reviewed the application and Staff's Memorandum, has 3. 17 determined that the resale agreement negotiated between GTE and Now meets the requirements of 18 the 1996 Act including section 252(e)(2)(A) which governs the approval of voluntarily-negotiated 19 agreements and is in the public interest.
- The Commission maintains jurisdiction over the subject matter of the agreement and 4. 21 Amendments thereof, to the extent permitted pursuant to the powers granted the Commission by the 22 Arizona Constitution, Statutes, Commission Rule, and the 1996 Act and the Rules promulgated 23 thereunder.

Decision No. 62134

<u>ORDER</u>

THEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED that the Commission hereby approves the resale agreement as filed by the parties on October 1, 1999.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that this Decision shall become effective immediately.

BY ORDER OF THE ARIZONA CORPORATION COMMISSION

COMMISSIONER

COMMISSIONER

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I, BRIAN C. McNEIL, Acting Executive Secretary of the Arizona Corporation Commission, have hereunto, set my hand and caused the official seal of this Commission to be affixed at the Capitol, in the City of Phoenix, this ______ day of ______ day of _______. 1999.

BRIAN C. McNEIL Executive Secretary

DISSENT _____

DRS:MJR:sjs\DMW

Decision No. <u>62134</u>